

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

| P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 223 www.uspto.gov | 313-1450 | |
|------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|---|
| ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | • |

| APPLICATION NO. | FIL | ING DATE | FIRST N | AMED INVENTOR | ATT | DRNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|------------|------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 09/756,232 | 0 | 1/09/2001 | | Khiem Le 017.39133X00 | | 017.39133X00 6982 | | | |
| 20457 | 7590 | 03/26/2004 | 03/26/2004 | | | | EXAMINER | | |
| ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET | | | | | | CORRIELUS, JEAN M | | | |
| SUITE 180 | | | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| ARLINGTO | ARLINGTON, VA 22209-9889 | | | | | 2172 | · | | |
| | | | | | DATE | E MAILED: 03/26/200 | и У) | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

M

| | · | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | | |
| Advisory Action | 09/756,232 | LE ET AL. | | | | | | |
| names, y name. | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | | |
| | Jean M Corrielus | 2172 | | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | ears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence add | ress | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | | | | | | | | |
| PERIOD FOR R | EPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). | | | | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF | | | | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered to | pecause: | | | | | | | |
| (a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furth | (a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note | below); | | | | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without cance | ling a corresponding number of | finally rejected clair | ns. | | | | | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje | | | | | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | d be allowable if submitted in a s | eparate, timely filed | l amendment | | | | | |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: _ | or reconsideration has been cons | sidered but does NC | T place the | | | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | cause it is not directed SOLELY | to issues which we | re newly | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w | For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. | | | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows | : | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | | |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app | proved or b) disapproved by | the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme | ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | · | | | | | | |
| 10. Other: | | | | | | | | |
| | | Nean M Corrielus Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2172 | | | | | | |



Continuation of 2. NOTE: The added limitations to claims 1, 19, 32, and 40 have changed the scope of the claims that would require further search and consideration.